STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL AND INSURANCE SERVICES

Before the Commissioner of Financial and Insurance Services

Office of Financial and Insurance
Services,

        Petitioner,

                                            Case No. 06-538-L

v   **Docket No. 2006-560**

Jacqueline Dillahunt,

        Respondent.

_____/

For the Petitioner: For the Respondent:

Tracy Post (P62602)   Jacqueline Dillahunt
Office of Financial and Insurance Services   2236 S. Van Dyke Ave, Lot 41
611 W. Ottawa, 3rd Floor   Marlette, MI   48453
Lansing, MI   48933
 (517) 373-2887
FAX (517) 241-2894
postt@michigan.gov

_____

Issued and entered
this 16th day of November 2006
by Linda A. Watters
Commissioner

FINAL DECISION

      The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued a Proposal for Decision dated October 2,

2006, recommending that the Commissioner revoke Respondent's insurance license.

      Respondent filed Exceptions to the Proposal for Decision on October 19, 2006.

Respondent disagreed with eight of the factual findings of the ALJ (paragraphs 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 16,

17, and 18 of the Proposal for Decision). However, the findings of fact in the Proposal for

Decision are all grounded in the hearing record and are in accordance with the preponderance of

the evidence. Even if Respondent's facts were accepted as true, they would not require different

conclusions of law – the facts of this case which Petitioner does not dispute would alone

warrant the revocation of Respondent's insurance license.

Respondent has requested a lesser sanction than the revocation of her insurance license

in consideration of the fact that she is in continuing counseling. If it is true, as Respondent

asserts, that the condition for which she currently receives counseling is a condition which

caused her to engage in conduct which violated the Insurance Code, granting her continued

authority to act as an insurance agent would be unwise.

The Proposal for Decision is attached, adopted, and made part of this final decision.

ORDER

Therefore, it is ORDERED that Respondent's insurance license is revoked.